of insistence on payment in contravention of the rules are brought to notice, we shall enquire into them and take needful action.

Improvement of Krishnarajanagara Town.

Q.—11. **Messrs. M. S. BASAVANNA DEVARU** (Yelandur Jahgir); **N. BHOGI MALLAIYA** (Heggaddevankote Taluk); **S. K. SIDDE GOWDA** and **S. THIMME GOWDA** *alias* **THAMMAIYA GOWDA** (Krishnarajapet Taluk); **B. SIDDALINGA SETTY** (Krishnarajanagar Taluk); **K. N. PUTTANNA, SIDDAVEERAPPA** and **GURUBASAPPA** (Chamarajanagar Taluk); **M. MAYI GOWDA** (T.-Narsipur Taluk); **U. L. RAMACHANDRA RAO** (Mysore City Municipality); **G. MALLANNA** (Mysore Taluk); **K. C. SUBBANNA** (Gundlupet Taluk); **S. C. MALLIAH** (Maddur Taluk); **M. SUBBIAH** (Seringapatam Municipality); **B. CHAMAIYA** and **M. SIVANANJE GOWDA** (Seringapatam Taluk); **K. CHIKKALINGIAH** and **J. DEVAIYA** (Mandya Taluk) and **T. MARIYAPPA** (Nagamangala Taluk).

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) what is the amount contributed out of the Krishnarajasagara Special Fund for the improvement of the new town after the old Yedatore Town was submerged;

(*b*) what is the amount spent and for what purposes;

(*c*) what is the unspent balance under each head;

(*d*) has the want of proper drainage, water facilities and good roads in the new town come to the notice of Government?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (Mr. R. W. Scaldwell).**—

(*a*) Rs. 3 lakhs.

(*b*) Rs. 3,62,416 (including credits) has been spent so far, for the formation of the new town, shifting of Government buildings and muzrai institutions, water supply, etc.

(*c*) A statement of expenditure under each head is placed on the table (*vide* Appendix). The sanctioned estimate has already been exceeded.

(*d*) Yes.

Mr. B. SIDDALINGA SETTY.—From the figures furnished in the appendix in the answer to (*c*), it is evident that a sum of only Rs. 62,416 has been provided for the improvement of the new town. What improvement can be effected out of this paltry sum?

Mr. R. W. SCALDWELL.—We cannot provide more funds.

Mr. B. SIDDALINGA SETTY.—Should not all the facilities which the old town enjoyed be provided now, such as adequate water supply, etc.?

Mr. R. W. SCALDWELL.—The new town is 2½ times the size of the old town, and it is difficult to provide all the necessary amenities to the extended town all at once. We have been doing our best, and in certain respects such as roads, etc., the facilities now afforded are better than before. The expenditure of Rs. 3,62,416 incurred on the new town is more than what was required by way of compensation to the old small town. Nothing more can be spent from the K.R.S. capital amount.

Mr. B. SIDDALINGA SETTY.—With regard to water supply, very much remains to be done. The total outlay incurred up-to-date on water supply comes to about Rs. 67,000. But the work actually turned out is not worth even half that amount.

Mr. R. W. SCALDWELL.—The municipality should hereafter bear the cost of any future improvements that may be necessary to meet the needs of the growing town.

Mr. B. SIDDALINGA SETTY.—Why? Formerly the river was very near to the village and there was no difficulty for water at all. For having induced the townsmen to agree to the shifting of the town, the Government ought to give them all the required facilities. The municipality ought not to be saddled with any part of the expenditure on account of such facilities.

Mr. PRESIDENT.—We shall see if anything more can be done to improve the town.

Mr. H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Are not the Government deriving a high return from the Irwin Canal scheme?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—Not more than 2 per cent.

Mr. H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—At least in future they are sure to derive a high return.

Mr. PRESIDENT.—We shall consider your suggestion when that comes about.

Mr. H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Even in the meantime, is it not the duty of Government to provide all necessary facilities like drinking water to the residents of the town?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—Everything possible is being done. There are very many other places which require water supply even more urgently.

Mr. K. M. KRISHNAPPA GOWDA.—Is there any departmental supervision over the water supply arrangements?

The SECOND MEMBER (Rajamantrapravina N. Madhava Rau).—Yes.

Mr. K. M. KRISHNAPPA GOWDA.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that the water supplied is generally insanitary and that in the rainy season, the water supplied is not filtered at all?

Mr. N. MADHAVA RAU.—No complaints about the quality of water have reached them.

Mr. K. M. KRISHNAPPA GOWDA.—Will the Government enquire whether or not the water supplied is quite dirty and insanitary?

The DIRECTOR of PUBLIC HEALTH (Mr. P. Parthasarathi).—Yes.

Mr. K. L. NANJAPPA NADIG.—Is management of the new town still in the hands of Government or has it been vested in the municipality?

Mr. N. MADHAVA RAU.—The management of the municipal affairs ceased to vest in the Government when a municipal council was constituted for the town.

Mr. K. L. NANJAPPA NADIG.—Have no complaints been received in regard to the supply of water?

Mr. N. MADHAVA RAU.—There were complaints that water supply was not adequate, and Government are now considering how best to increase the supply. Formerly the Public Works department was in charge of water supply, but now the Public Health Department looks after that work. This department will consider what improvements are necessary in view of the points now brought to notice.

Land acquired for constructing certain Channels in Mandya, Maddur and Seringapatam Taluks.

Q.—12. Messrs. M. S. BASAVANNA DEVARU (Yelandur Jahgir); N. BHOGI MALLAIYA (Heggaddevankote Taluk); S. K. SIDDE GOWDA and S. THIMME GOWDA *alias* THAMMAIYA GOWDA (Krishnarajapet Taluk); B. SIDDALINGA SETTY (Krishnarajanagar Taluk); K. N. PUTTANNA, SIDDAVEERAPPA and GURUBASAPPA (Chamarajanagar Taluk); M. MAYI GOWDA (T.-Narsipur Taluk); U. L. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Mysore City Municipality); G. MALLANNA (Mysore Taluk); K. C. SUBBANNA (Gundlupet Taluk); S. C. MALLIAH (Maddur Taluk); M. SUBBIAH (Seringapatam Municipality); B. CHAMAIYA and M. SIVANANJE GOWDA (Seringapatam Taluk); K. CHIKKALINGIAH and J. DEVAIYA (Mandya Taluk); and T. MARIYAPPA (Nagamangala Taluk).

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) what is the extent of land acquired for constructing channels in Mandya, Maddur and Seringapatam Taluks since the inception of the Irwin Canal (Yearwar and talukwar details to be furnished);

(*b*) what is the extent for which compensation has not been paid (Yearwar and talukwar details to be furnished);

(*c*) how much land has come under submersion;

(*d*) in how many cases have lands been given in exchange;

(*e*) in how many cases has neither compensation nor land in exchange been given, though the lands have come under submersion (Talukwar and yearwar details to be furnished)?

A.—The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. B. T. Kesavaiengar).—

(*a*) The extent acquired in the Mandya Sub-Division is 2,986 acres and 11 guntas which includes the area acquired in all the three taluks. Talukwar details are not maintained.

Yearwar details are as follows:—

		A.	G.
1927-28	...	690	8
1928-29	...	657	21½
1929-30	...	170	5
1930-31	...	74	14